

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,953	12/30/2003	Mary Rose Rice	14177-1600	7896 .
21611 SNELL & WIL	7590 12/27/200 MER LLP	EXAMINER		
600 ANTON BOULEVARD SUITE 1400 COSTA MESA, CA 92626			CASCHERA, ANTONIO A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2628	
,				•
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		12/27/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/748,953	RICE, MARY ROSE		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Antonio A. Caschera	2628		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulated and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	J. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 No.     This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-15 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-15 are subject to restriction	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer of or the original transfer of the original transfer of the original transfer of the original transfer or the original tran	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te		

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Newly submitted claims 1-8 and 10-15 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

The claims now seem to be directed to an invention that is performed without the use of any type of computer or processing device (since the amendment now defines the sample cards as, "physical paint color sample cards") which was not the case in view of the originally filed claims.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 1-8 and 10-15 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

#### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 11/17/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In reference to claims 1-8 and 10-15, Applicant traverses the previously made election/restriction requirement based upon the original presentation of the claims (see pages 2-7 of Applicant's Remarks). Applicant goes on to explain that the claims, as originally filed, did not recite the use of displaying the paint sample cards using a computer or computer display and cites passages of the specification which describe the type of display as referred to by the claims

Art Unit: 2628

(see pages 3-5 of Applicant's Remarks). Applicant further argues that the amendment placing the word "physical" into the current claim language, to provide, "physical paint sample cards" on a "physical display," was performed in response to the previous Office Action where the Office stated that the previously argued limitation of "physical paint sample cards" was not recited in the claims (see pages 5-6 of Applicant's Remarks) and therefore was not considered.

The Office firstly points out that the original claims recited, "A method for displaying color samples...placing the selected paint colors on a first plurality of sample cards ..." (see, for example, claim 1 filed 07/15/04). The amendment filed 08/11/06 has modified claim 1, and all other independent claims similarly, to recite, "A method of displaying paint color samples in a two-dimensional physical display unit...placing the selected plurality of paint colors on a first plurality of physical paint color sample cards..." It is clear that as originally filed, the claims could be interpreted as being displayed on a computer display, using a computer program such as the program cited as prior art, Microsoft PhotoDraw, since the sample cards of the claims could be interpreted as color swatches programmed in Microsoft PhotoDraw. However, with the newly amended claim language, it is clear that the scope of the claims has changed, since these sample cards are now "physical" cards and not some type of element displayed by software. Therefore, since the scope of the claims have changed from their original presentation, the Office maintains the previous election/restriction requirement, making the requirement FINAL.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Antonio Caschera whose telephone number is (571) 272-7781.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,953

Art Unit: 2628

Page 4

The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays between 7:00

AM and 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kee Tung, can be reached at (571) 272-7794.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

571-273-8300 (Central Fax)

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

aac

Antonio Caschera
12/19/06 Patent Examiner

KEE M. TUNG

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER